

# KING COUNTY COMPREHENSIVE PLAN 2004 UPDATE

## Public Comments on the Comprehensive Plan

Name (Last, First MI, Title, Organization)	Date	Comments (Summarized)	Comprehensive Plan, King County Code, or Map Amendments	Title, Chapter, Section, and/or Policy	Response
Hubner, Michael, Suburban Cities Association	12/15/2003	Technical and editorial comments regarding growth targets section.	Comprehensive Plan	Chapter 2, Section 1	Suggested edits have been made.
Keolker-Wheeler, Kathy, Mayor City of Renton	01/22/2004	Concerned that proposed changes to Potential Annexation Area (PAA) policies de-emphasize collaboration with cities. Chapter 2 policies should make it clear they apply only to unincorporated areas. Text with growth targets should describe how annexations affect targets. Policies for rezones or density increases in PAAs should require consistency with city comprehensive plans. Proposed PAA policies conflict with Countywide Planning Policies (CPPs) and, with elimination of the Community Action Strategies, discourage capital investment in PAAs. Housing policies should omit references "all jurisdictions." Change parks policies to continue support for local county parks in the unincorporated Urban Area. Opposes policy P-129 calling for cities to share costs for parks in unincorporated areas if used by city residents. Change policy T-101 to support collaboration with cities on planning roads projects in PAAs. Change T-204 to support implementing city land use plans for projects in PAAs. Opposes reduced transportation Level of Service (LOS) standards, which will allow	Comprehensive Plan	Chapter 2, Section 1, 2, 4, existing Section 6; Chapter 5; Chapter 6	There is no intention to de-emphasize collaboration with cities. It is not necessary to insert unincorporated before each reference to urban areas. Suggested edits to U-120 and U-122 conflict with existing policy. Renton accurately points out that U-201 is not consistent with the CPP's - it may be necessary to amend the CPP's to be consistent with the intent of recent island annexation legislation. U-204 would guide future County support for incorporations and would not preclude a proposed incorporation. Comments related to the TDR program and the overall goal of achieving an average density of 7 to 8 homes per acre conflict with long-standing county policy. County policy statements for the unincorporated urban area do not indicate a lack of collaboration or willingness to negotiate future agreements with cities. See also "Transportation Policy Comments" response.
Scarey, Michael C., Senior Planner City of SeaTac	01/09/2004	The table accompanying U-112 should be changed to reflect the fact that SeaTac no longer has a PAA. Proposed changes to U-201 and U-203 should be changed to encourage collaboration with cities in planning annexations. In Chapter 3, a mechanism for phasing development in the Rural Area should be discussed, considering that buildout levels under proposed regulations are so much higher than growth targets. The deletion of policies U-608 through U-610 appear to signal the county's intention to abandon infrastructure improvements in the North Highline area, which is troubling. Finally, the city supports policies to incorporate travel time for measuring traffic concurrency, but would like to travel time standards shown in the plan.	Comprehensive Plan	Chapter 2, Section 1, 2, existing Section 6; Chapter 3; Chapter 6	The targets will be revised as requested. Policies related to annexation of "unclaimed areas" should be reviewed in light of recent island legislation. An amendment to the CPP's may be needed and the delay of adding an unclaimed area to a PAA prior to annexation may not be warranted. Since 96% of residential growth takes place within the UGA, there is no need for Rural phasing. King County will not abandon the infrastructure needs of N. Highline.

# KING COUNTY COMPREHENSIVE PLAN 2004 UPDATE

## Comprehensive Plan Comments (Continued)

Name (Last, First MI, Title, Organization)	Date	Comments (Summarized)	Comprehensive Plan, King County Code, or Map Amendments	Title, Chapter, Section, and/or Policy	Response
Lewis, Peter B, Mayor City of Auburn	01/29/2004	Suggests that references to growth in cities and urban centers be eliminated. Suggests reviewing existing policy U-170; a fund for reclaiming polluted lands is a good idea but there has been no regional dialogue. PAA policies to encourage annexation are in conflict with other policy changes that will reduce infrastructure investment in PAAs, require cities to hire transferred sheriff's deputies, and prevent annexation of portions of urban islands even by a city with an inter-local agreement and a demonstrated commitment to gradual annexation of its PAA. Opposes proposed policy T-208 to reduce transportation concurrency LOS standards. Concerned that proposed policy F-227c indicates county will seek new authority to add an additional, unnecessary layer of regulations controlling water withdrawals. Suggests that all of the above issues should be resolved through a regional process rather than through the comprehensive plan.	Comprehensive Plan	Chapter 2, Section 1, 2; Chapter 6; Chapter 7	It is not necessary to delete all references to cities. The King County Comprehensive Plan only applies to unincorporated King County. Policy U-170 does not conflict with the CPP's and lack of regional dialogue to date does not preclude future dialogue. PAA policy U-207 will be revised in response to concerns raised about island annexations. PAA negotiations and interlocal agreements are intended to address service issues within PAA's. The County is not seeking new water authority. Policy F-227a guides establishment of new water systems in Rural areas. The objective of F-227c is to achieve a consistent and coordinated approach with the state Departments of Health and Ecology. There is considerable ambiguity as to the state government and local government roles for water management, and this policy describes initial steps to reach agreement. Hopefully, recent state legislation (2E2SHB 1338, 2003) provided some clarity for cities and water utilities. See also "Transportation Policy Comments" response.
Odle, Robert G, Policy Planning Manager City of Redmond	02/03/2004	Concerned that policy U-207 as proposed, would be overly restrictive, preventing a phased approach to annexing PAAs. IF the goal is to encourage annexation, this goal should be clearly stated in the PAA policies. In the section on growth targets, the terms "forecast" and "targets" should be used more carefully to reflect the distinction between the two. Page 7-7, "Potable Water Systems" should be changed to avoid conflicting with policies in some cities to require annexation before extending water and sewer service. Supports recommendation for Redmond Perrigo Park area to be designated Urban.	Comprehensive Plan	Chapter 2, Section 1, 2; Chapter 7, Redmond Perrigo Park Map Amendment	U-207 will be revised based on feedback from cities. The suggested edit to clarify the terms target and forecast has also been made. Regarding water policy, no policy change is proposed regarding city water service. Proposed water policy changes are focused on new exempt wells in the Rural area. The statement was a recapitulation of what we believe was decided in the case Nolte v City of Olympia. King County fully supports city annexations of land within UGA's. With the Supreme Court's recent decision on annexations, a major barrier to providing such service, and guaranteeing annexation of the area to be served, should be removed.

# KING COUNTY COMPREHENSIVE PLAN 2004 UPDATE

## Comprehensive Plan Comments (Continued)

Name (Last, First MI, Title, Organization)	Date	Comments (Summarized)	Comprehensive Plan, King County Code, or Map Amendments	Title, Chapter, Section, and/or Policy	Response
Davidson, Nancy, Mayor Pro Tem City of Issaquah	01/29/2004	Supports proposed sustainable development policies. Supports recommendation of no change for Covington/Poppie area zoning. Supports proposed P-105 strengthening support for the regional trail system. Concerned that some policies conflict with policies to accelerate annexations because they are inconsistent with city comprehensive plans. Reducing transportation LOS standards, providing transportation concurrency exemptions for certain projects, changes to Title 20 to encourage rezones, and affordable housing policies for reduced construction standards and cottage housing will lead to increased density in PAAs. Meanwhile, proposed T-316 reduces support for non-motorized transportation improvements, and proposed policies U-205 and U-206 reduce support for infrastructure improvements in PAAs. Also concerned about proposed policy and code changes which encourage development in the Rural Area, including policy R-106a to create a rural economic development strategy, and, in Title 21A, allowing horticultural centers, saw mills in RA-10 zones, and expanded home occupations.	Comprehensive Plan	Chapter 2, Section 2, 4, proposed Section 6; General	Support for sustainable development and recommendation for the Covington-Poppie rezone proposal is appreciated. Concern about increased development in the PAA is noted. Cottage Housing and 5-story wood frame construction are drawn from the Housing Toolkit developed at GMPC. The intent of policy F-227 is to <u>reduce</u> the number of exempt wells. Proposed changes to Title 20 easing rezone requirements are being reconsidered based on city concerns. Regarding F-225 and F-226, the intent is to limit the situations in which new systems may be created, and ensure that any such new systems are owned or operated by existing systems. See also "Transportation Policy Comments" response.
Marsh, Connie	01/21/2004 and follow-up comments.	Opposes single, reduced LOS standard for traffic concurrency in the Urban Area. Concerned that infrastructure in PAAs will be neglected while development density increases, working against annexation policies. Concerned that cottage housing policy allowing double density is too extreme. Concerned that transportation policies include too many references to external technical documents, leaving the section meaningless, and wants more information included within the policies and text instead. Proposes more detailed specification for projects that are exempt from LOS standards in policy T-209, and ensuring that limited-access roads in the Rural Area are not exempt. Proposes stronger policies to ensure the environment is protected when transportation projects are built. Wants definitions for "funded" and "arterial functional classification" added to the glossary. In code, opposes traffic concurrency exemptions for developments under 8 units, and changes to allow multiple exempt wells for developments under 6 units.	Comprehensive Plan	Chapter 2, Section 2, 4; Chapter 6; Glossary; Title 14	Concern about increased development in the PAA is noted. See also "Transportation Policy Comments" response.

## KING COUNTY COMPREHENSIVE PLAN 2004 UPDATE

### Comprehensive Plan Comments (Continued)

Name (Last, First MI, Title, Organization)	Date	Comments (Summarized)	Comprehensive Plan, King County Code, or Map Amendments	Title, Chapter, Section, and/or Policy	Response
Lancaster, Steve, Director, Dept of Community Development City of Tukwila	02/05/2004	Concerned that proposed PAA policies are inconsistent with CPPs. Concerned that U-207 in particular would be a barrier to annexations.	Comprehensive Plan	Chapter 2, Section 2, Policy U-207	U-207 will be revised based on city comments. CPP LU-32 may need revision based on recent island annexation legislation. U-204 guides future county positions without precluding incorporations which are governed by State law. CPP LU-34 is very general, stating incorporations <u>may be</u> appropriate; a county position that does not favor incorporation does not necessarily conflict with this CPP.
McClung, Kathy, Director of Community Development Services City of Federal Way	01/22/2004	Concerned that proposed changes to PAA policies discourage collaboration with cities to address planning within PAAs. Proposes deleting reference to "urban islands" in policy U-207, or defining the term so that the policy is less restrictive.	Comprehensive Plan	Chapter 2, Section 2, Policy U-207	Policy U-207 is being revised based on comments from cities. The intent of Policy U-205 is to guide future interlocal agreements that are intended to resolve issues of mutual concern. It is not the County's intent to reduce the partnership or level of cooperation with cities while addressing PAA-related issues.
Shields, Eric, Planning Director City of Kirkland	01/30/2004	Concerned that policy U-207 as proposed, would be overly restrictive, preventing a phased approach to annexing PAAs. Wording should be changed to limit what is meant by "urban island."	Comprehensive Plan	Chapter 2, Section 2, Policy U-207	U-207 will be revised based on city comments.
Yazici, Ben, City Manager City Sammamish	01/30/2004	Opposes changes to Title 20 that will ease requirements for rezones within land use categories. Concerned that proposed annexation policies conflict with CPPs, discourage cooperation with cities, will reduce infrastructure improvements in PAAs, and will lead to development patterns in PAAs which are incompatible with city comprehensive plans. Proposes exceptions to U-204 to allow park land to be added to the Urban Growth Area (UGA). Concerned that policies P-109 and P-122 are overly restrictive in defining allowable activities for open space. Concerned that policy changes will lead to increased density in areas already failing concurrency.	Comprehensive Plan	Chapter 2, Section 2; Chapter 5; General; Code	The proposed change to Title 20 making urban rezones easier is being reconsidered based on city concerns. The intent of Policy U-205 is to guide future interlocal agreements that are intended to resolve issues of mutual concern. It is not the County's intent to reduce the partnership or level of cooperation with cities while addressing PAA-related issues. Proposals to add park land to the Urban Growth Area conflict with existing policy.
Lind, Rebecca, Planning Manager City of Renton	01/14/2004	Concerned about the combined impact that the proposed policy changes will have on PAAs. There is a conflict between policies emphasizing shifting responsibility for the Urban Area to cities, and policies relaxing LOS standards for traffic concurrency and increasing allowable development densities, which will lead to more intense development in PAAs not compatible with city comprehensive plans. Policies U-201 and U-204 both appear to be inconsistent with the CPPs. U-205 is overly vague, and the existing wording is preferred to the proposed wording.	Comprehensive Plan	Chapter 2, Section 2; General	See County response to the 1-22-04 letter from the Mayor of Renton above. See also "Transportation Policy Comments" response.

# KING COUNTY COMPREHENSIVE PLAN 2004 UPDATE

## Comprehensive Plan Comments (Continued)

Name (Last, First MI, Title, Organization)	Date	Comments (Summarized)	Comprehensive Plan, King County Code, or Map Amendments	Title, Chapter, Section, and/or Policy	Response
Bonewits, Richard, Chairman Greater Maple Valley Area Council	01/26/2004	Supports limiting development in the Rural Area. Proposes changing several policies to specify that the Transfer of Development Rights (TDR) Program cannot transfer development rights to Rural receiving sites. Opposes deleting policies that restrict transportation improvements in the Rural Area. Proposes changing policy R-413 to restrict permitting of non-conforming uses in the Rural Area. Proposes that Dec. 31, 2001 remain the cut-off date for lots within the Forest Production District (FPD) being allowed to develop residential units.	Comprehensive Plan	Chapter 3	Amending R-201 to not allow public water or TDR in the Rural area conflicts with existing policy. R-209 amendment would eliminate the only way to achieve one home per 2.5 acres in RA-2.5-zoned areas. Policy R-413 guides new industrial development in Rural areas, not existing/nonconforming development. Policy R-521 is being reevaluated.
Dawson, Susan C	01/30/2004	Opposes policies allowing subdivision to lots of 2.5 acres in the Rural Area, and less than 80 acres in the FPD. Opposes policies encouraging home occupations in the Rural Area.	Comprehensive Plan	Chapter 3	Comments noted. We are not proposing to increase development potential in the Rural Area or in the FPD.
Dill, Richard	04/18/2003	Proposes changes to Agricultural Production District (APD) policies to recognize that ag uses and recreational uses do not conflict. R-545 should be changed to allow recreational uses on APD land so long as uses are limited to allow future ag use. R-547 should be changed to make it possible to remove land from the APD. Proposes changes to surrounding text to remove portions that state or imply that recreation and farming are incompatible uses for neighboring properties.	Comprehensive Plan	Chapter 3, Section 5	This was submitted as a docket request but was inadvertently missed. Requests are instead being considered as comments per conversation with Mr. Dill. Suggestions conflict with existing policy, particularly the proposal to make it easier to remove land from the APD.
Gideon, Tom, Vice President Western Timberlands Weyerhaeuser Company	01/28/2004	Opposes proposed revision to policy R-521 to prevent new homes on new lots in the FPD.	Comprehensive Plan	Chapter 3, Section 5, Policy R-521	The proposed policy is being revised to remove the prohibition on new residential development in the FPD.
McClelland, Doug, Chair King County Rural Forest Commission	12/23/2003	Supports intent of R-521. However, the goal of maintaining working forestland can be accomplished without the proposed change to R-521 and associated changes in Title 19A to prevent any new homes on lots created after December 31, 2003.	Comprehensive Plan	Chapter 3, Section 5, Policy R-521	The proposed policy is being revised to remove the prohibition on new residential development in the FPD.
Woods, W William	01/19/2004	Opposes the proposed change to restrict residential development on new lots in the FPD.	Comprehensive Plan	Chapter 3, Section 5, Policy R-521.	The proposed policy is being revised to remove the prohibition on new residential development in the FPD.
Beardslee, Kurt Washington Trout	01/15/2004	Concerned that changes to policies regarding APDs may threaten the chinook salmon population. Requests that proposed policies R-541 and R-541a and existing policy R-542 be revised in order to provide stronger support for salmon habitat preservation within APDs.	Comprehensive Plan	Chapter 3, Section 5, Policy R-541	Proposed policies will be revised to allow more flexibility for restoration projects.

## KING COUNTY COMPREHENSIVE PLAN 2004 UPDATE

### Comprehensive Plan Comments (Continued)

Name (Last, First MI, Title, Organization)	Date	Comments (Summarized)	Comprehensive Plan, King County Code, or Map Amendments	Title, Chapter, Section, and/or Policy	Response
Belknap, William	01/21/2004	Concerned that changes to policies regarding APDs may threaten the chinook salmon population.	Comprehensive Plan	Chapter 3, Section 5, Policy R-541	Proposed policies will be revised to allow more flexibility for restoration projects.
Burger, David, Executive Director Stewardship Partners	01/30/2004	Opposes changes to R-541 and R-541a which would limit salmon habitat restoration projects in APDs.	Comprehensive Plan	Chapter 3, Section 5, Policy R-541	Proposed policies will be revised to allow more flexibility for restoration projects.
Grieve, James C	01/21/2004	Concerned about revisions that may impact chinook salmon populations. Supports comments from Washington Trout.	Comprehensive Plan	Chapter 3, Section 5, Policy R-541	Proposed policies will be revised to allow more flexibility for restoration projects.
Kelleher, Connie, American Rivers	01/29/2004	Supports comments made by Washington Trout. Opposes proposed restricting salmon habitat restoration within APDs.	Comprehensive Plan	Chapter 3, Section 5, Policy R-541	Proposed policies will be revised to allow more flexibility for restoration projects.
Hersh, C Mark	01/28/2004	Concerned that policies do not go far enough to ensure protection of the environment. Suggests stronger policies for sustainable and low-impact development in the Rural Area. Concerned that policies promote unsustainable resource extraction and forestry practices. Proposes changes to R-541 to allow habitat restoration on APD land. Proposes stronger language for E-127 to be consistent with state water quality standards.	Comprehensive Plan	Chapter 3; Chapter 4	Comments noted.
Parsons, Lisa, Middle Green River Coalition	01/30/2004	Proposes eliminating rural-to-rural TDR transfers. Opposes TDR transfers to PAAs unless supported by the city. Supports Enumclaw community plan policies but would like to see county purchase undeveloped land in Green Valley, and stronger support for non-motorized access to trails and roads along corridor to Greenwater. Concerned about introduction of Friends of Rock Creek Valley (FRCV) Conservation Plan language into the county comprehensive plan, because that report includes many unsupported assumptions and there was no attempt to work with the state and other stakeholders when FRCV produced that plan.	Comprehensive Plan	Chapter 3; Chapter 8, Enumclaw Community Plan	Comments noted about FRCV proposal, which is not part of the Executive Recommendation. Eliminating all Rural-Rural TDR conflicts with existing policy.
Gilbert, Steven G, Director Institute of Neurotoxicology and Neurological Disorders	01/30/2004	Proposes policy for environmental section stating that residents of King County have a right to a healthy and safe environment, which means that air, water, and food must be of high quality, and that government, business, and each resident has a responsibility to enhance and preserve the environment.	Comprehensive Plan	Chapter 4	Comments noted.
Roos, Stephen H, Hillis, Clark, Martin & Peterson law offices, On behalf of Glacier Northwest	01/30/2004	Concerned about proposed policy E-120a regarding Aquatic Reserves. Notes that there are no state designated Aquatic Reserves in King County, that county regulations per E-120a would violate the Growth Management Act (GMA), and that therefore the policy should not be added to the comprehensive plan.	Comprehensive Plan	Chapter 4, Policy E-120a	Comments noted.

## KING COUNTY COMPREHENSIVE PLAN 2004 UPDATE

### Comprehensive Plan Comments (Continued)

Name (Last, First MI, Title, Organization)	Date	Comments (Summarized)	Comprehensive Plan, King County Code, or Map Amendments	Title, Chapter, Section, and/or Policy	Response
Winge, Carl & Kathy	01/01/2004	Concerned that Aquatic Reserves policy will lead to more restrictions for waterfront property owners.	Comprehensive Plan	Chapter 4, Policy E-120a	Comments noted.
Transportation Policy Comments	-	See transportation/concurrency-related comments from various cities and individuals.	Comprehensive Plan	Chapter 6	The Rural Area level of service (LOS) standard B does not change. King County proposes one LOS standard (E) for the UGA, instead of the existing three LOS standards, in order to direct new growth into the UGA. Some areas in the unincorporated Urban Area currently have a LOS E standard. If the LOS E standard is exceeded, new growth will not receive certificates of concurrency. The proposed language on concurrency exemptions in Title 14.70 reflects only edits to existing exemptions. The reference to construction of 8 multi-family units on one lot in the UGA is for a total of 8 units. Short plats (up to 9 units) are also exempt from concurrency in the UGA only. Projects exempt from concurrency will pay their fair share toward road improvements through the County Mitigation Payment System. Subdivisions must meet concurrency requirements before a buildable lot is created. The county is considering new language in the comprehensive plan and code for existing exemptions by testing them for a LOS standard, unlike Bellevue's outright exemption, in response to the City of Bellevue court decision.
MacDuff, John	01/02/2004	Technical comments related to Transportation policies. Asks whether there will still be an allowance for mitigating transportation requirements through mitigation payments.	Comprehensive Plan	Chapter 6, various policies.	See "Transportation Policy Comments" response.
Jorgensen, Edie, President Four Creeks Unincorporated Area Council	01/21/2004	Opposes proposed elimination of Community Action Strategies and changes related to transportation concurrency, both use of the travel time model, and reduction in LOS standards. Opposes eliminating wording which calls for input by Unincorporated Area Councils on roads six-year development plans. Proposes more detailed specification for projects that are exempt from LOS standards in policy T-209. Opposes weakening language supporting non-motorized transportation improvements in policy T-316.	Comprehensive Plan	Chapter 6; Chapter 2, existing Section 6.	See "Transportation Policy Comments" response.
Keesling, Maxine	12/09/2003 and follow-up comments.	Requests changes to proposed policies related to traffic concurrency and road construction in the Rural Area in order to ensure that development is possible at zoned densities, opposes new policy T-206. Opposes new policy F-227b limiting exempt wells in the Rural Area. Concerned that Four-to-One program to create permanent open space along the UGA boundary violates Washington Administrative Code. Proposes that LOS standards for roads in the Rural Area should be the same as for the Urban Area.	Comprehensive Plan	Chapter 6; Chapter 7, Section 2, Policy F-227b; General	Comments noted. See also "Transportation Policy Comments" response.

## KING COUNTY COMPREHENSIVE PLAN 2004 UPDATE

### Comprehensive Plan Comments (Continued)

Name (Last, First MI, Title, Organization)	Date	Comments (Summarized)	Comprehensive Plan, King County Code, or Map Amendments	Title, Chapter, Section, and/or Policy	Response
Brazil, Jim, President Northeast Sammamish Sewer and Water District	01/30/2004	Concerned that language preceding F-225 is incorrect; closure of basins to new water rights does not prevent withdrawal to full extent of existing rights. Opposes F-226, because not all Class A water systems are capable of acting as satellite managers. Opposes policies to increase County role in regulation of water rights, this should remain role of State. Opposes policy restricting withdrawal of drinking water in order to serve other needs, and to sell reclaimed water back to purveyors. Opposes changes to UTRC review process. Opposes deleting reference to economic vitality in policy E-201b.	Comprehensive Plan	Chapter 7, Section 2	Comment regarding text with F-225 noted. The language in the policy has been rewritten to reflect the composite statements made by Ecology in its rules. Ecology's basin closures do not preclude future withdrawals under already-issued water rights. The rules do, however, recognize the impacts of withdrawals on instream resources, and establish instream flows in some basins and sub-basins that can affect future exercise of water rights. F-226 ensures consistency between KC's responsibility to plan for concurrency service, protect ground and surface water sources, and create utility service areas so that projected growth is provided water utility service in an orderly fashion. The concerns about the county's role as both supplier and regulator are noted; development of a comprehensive regional water plan should address that concern. The UTRC was established through a county process, and is not subject to any state-mandated procedures or criteria for its activities. It does strive to respect all governmental authorities.
Snyder, Kelly, Roth Hill Engineering Partners, LLC	01/30/2004	Suggests that text reference state law granting counties authority over water systems to ensure water quality and quantity, to support new policies to regulate ground water. Suggests other technical editing to improve policies.	Comprehensive Plan	Chapter 7, Section 2	The county has authority under the GMA to protect ground and surface water sources in unincorporated areas. It also has obligations within the Groundwater Management areas (RCW 90.44.400), and miscellaneous other statutes relating to land use and permitting. The CPPs direct all jurisdictions to take actions to protect groundwater quantity and quality (e.g., CA-5 and CA-6). The Council created a groundwater program in 2001 (Ordinance 14214), and cited its multiple related authorities in the findings/intent section to that ordinance.
Pancoast, Robert, Executive Director East King County Regional Water Association	01/30/2004	Opposes F-226, because not all Group A water systems are capable of acting as satellite managers. Opposes policies to increase County role in regulation of water rights, and to sell reclaimed water back to purveyors. Opposes changes to UTRC review process. Opposes deleting reference to economic vitality in policy E-201b.	Comprehensive Plan	Chapter 7, Section 2; Chapter 4, Policy E-201b	F-226 ensures consistency between KC's responsibility to plan for concurrency service, protect ground and surface water sources, and create utility service areas so that projected growth is provided water utility service in an orderly fashion. The CPPs (e.g., LU-20) require provision of water service appropriate to land use, consistent with existing infrastructure commitments—such as designated service areas in approved water system plans. The concerns about the county's role as both supplier and regulator are noted; development of a comprehensive regional water plan should address that concern. Comments regarding UTRC review noted.



## KING COUNTY COMPREHENSIVE PLAN 2004 UPDATE

### Comprehensive Plan Comments (Continued)

Name (Last, First MI, Title, Organization)	Date	Comments (Summarized)	Comprehensive Plan, King County Code, or Map Amendments	Title, Chapter, Section, and/or Policy	Response
Hoyt, Jeff	12/08/2003	Supports the comprehensive plan policies protecting the environment of Maury and Vashon Islands.	Comprehensive Plan	Chapter 8, Vashon Community Plan; General	Comments support Executive Recommendation.
Kicinski, Stephen T	12/09/2003	Supports the comprehensive plan policies protecting the environment of Maury and Vashon Islands.	Comprehensive Plan	Chapter 8, Vashon Community Plan; General	Comments support Executive Recommendation.
Boltz, Lourie	01/21/2004	Supports policies protecting rural and forest areas and keeping trails open for non-motorized recreational use.	Comprehensive Plan	General	Comments support Executive Recommendation.
Cowden, Judy	01/15/2004	Supports policy on open space. Suggests stronger emphasis on trails, particularly trails that can be used for riding horses.	Comprehensive Plan	General	Comments support Executive Recommendation.
Trohimovich, Tim, 1000 Friends of Washington	10/13/2003	Supports annexation policies. Proposes stronger policies to prevent extension of sewers and expansion of roads in the Rural Area, and language defining large uses that are allowable in the Rural Area. Supports policies that prohibit new exempt wells for subdivisions. Supports sustainable development and low-impact policies. Proposes further limiting restoration and mitigation work in the APD. Opposes policy R-547a and recommendation for Sammamish APD area zoning. Supports Fairwood/McGarvey Park and Covington/Poppie zoning recommendations.	Comprehensive Plan	General	Policy R-547a has been deleted. The recommendation for the Sammamish APD area zoning has been revised to no change. Comments about stronger policies for the Rural area are noted. Generally, the remaining comments support Executive Recommendation.
Wickstrom, Don E, P.E., Director of Public Works City of Kent	01/29/2004	Concerned about possible inclusion of the FRCV Conservation Plan in the county comprehensive plan. If it is included, Kent proposes eliminating references to City of Kent transferring its water rights from Clark Springs, as Kent has no intention to do so and was never engaged in discussions to do so.	Comprehensive Plan	General	The City of Kent's comments regarding the Rock Creek Valley Conservation Plan are acknowledged.
Woodward, Victor	01/06/2004	Requests to be added to mailing list for comprehensive plan update.	Comprehensive Plan	General	Added to mailing list and provided dates of remaining public meetings.
Young, Rob & Diane	01/04/2004	Question regarding public comments to county council.	Comprehensive Plan	General	Responded with date executive recommended amendments will be transmitted to Council.

## KING COUNTY COMPREHENSIVE PLAN 2004 UPDATE

### Public Comments on the King County Code

Name (Last, First MI, Title, Organization)	Date	Comments (Summarized)	Comprehensive Plan, King County Code, or Map Amendments	Title, Chapter, Section, and/or Policy	Response
Andrews, Dean	01/03/2004	Requests reclassification of Novelty Hill Road as a principal arterial.	King County Code	Arterial System Map	Comments noted.
Bukovinsky, D	11/05/2003	Requests reclassification of Novelty Hill Road as a principal arterial. Suggests improvement to NE 124th St to avoid flooding. Supports rezone of Duvall Rock Quarry to Rural Residential.	King County Code	Arterial System Map	Comments noted.
Burford, Ian	01/07/2004	Requests reclassification of Novelty Hill Road as a principal arterial. Also proposes improvement to NE 124th St to avoid flooding.	King County Code	Arterial System Map	Comments noted.
Burhen, Ray	11/05/2003	Requests reclassification of Novelty Hill Road to West Snoqualmie Road to NE 124th St to SR-203 as a principal arterial.	King County Code	Arterial System Map	Comments noted.
Burhen, Tove	11/05/2003	Requests reclassification of Novelty Hill Road to West Snoqualmie Road to NE 124th St to SR-203 as a principal arterial.	King County Code	Arterial System Map	Comments noted.
Cole, Christopher	01/09/2004	Requests reclassification of Novelty Hill Road as a principal arterial.	King County Code	Arterial System Map	Comments noted.
Correll, Charles	01/22/2004	Requests reclassification of Novelty Hill Road to SR 203 as principal arterial. Also proposes expansion of Woodinville-Duvall Road.	King County Code	Arterial System Map	Comments noted.
DiAcetis, Mary	01/05/2004	Requests reclassification of Novelty Hill Road as a principal arterial. Also suggests improvement to NE 124th St to avoid flooding.	King County Code	Arterial System Map	Comments noted.
Friedrich-Cole, Becky	01/09/2004	Requests reclassification of Novelty Hill Road as a principal arterial.	King County Code	Arterial System Map	Comments noted.
Joiner, Frank	01/05/2004	Requests reclassification of Novelty Hill Road as a principal arterial. Also proposes improvement to NE 124th St to avoid flooding.	King County Code	Arterial System Map	Comments noted.
Mercer, Ronn	01/09/2004	Requests reclassification of Novelty Hill Road as a principal arterial. Also proposes improvement to NE 124th St to avoid flooding. Suggests adding a turn lane to the Woodinville-Duvall Bridge.	King County Code	Arterial System Map	Comments noted.
Neal, Deborah L	01/22/2004	Supports large setbacks for streams. Requests reclassification of Novelty Hill Road as a principal arterial. Suggests improvement to NE 124th St to avoid flooding. Suggests adding express bus between Duvall and Seattle.	King County Code	Arterial System Map	Comments noted.
Olson, Kristine	12/10/2003	Requests reclassification of Novelty Hill Road as a principal arterial. Proposes improvement to NE 124th St to avoid flooding, and widening West Snohomish Road and Woodinville-Duvall Road.	King County Code	Arterial System Map	Comments noted.
Vining, Marsha	12/24/2003	Requests improvement to NE 124th St to avoid flooding. Requests reclassification of Novelty Hill Road as a principal arterial.	King County Code	Arterial System Map	Comments noted.

## KING COUNTY COMPREHENSIVE PLAN 2004 UPDATE

### King County Code Comments (Continued)

Name (Last, First MI, Title, Organization)	Date	Comments (Summarized)	Comprehensive Plan, King County Code, or Map Amendments	Title, Chapter, Section, and/or Policy	Response
Vining, Todd	12/24/2003	Requests improvement to NE 124th St to avoid flooding. Requests reclassification of Novelty Hill Road as a principal arterial.	King County Code	Arterial System Map	Comments noted.
Watanabe, Masahito	01/09/2004	Requests reclassification of Novelty Hill Road as a principal arterial.	King County Code	Arterial System Map	Comments noted.
Kreysar, Doug	01/22/2004	Requests reclassification of Novelty Hill Road and Woodinville-Duvall Rd as principal arterials. Proposes expansion of both roads to 4 to 5 lanes. Suggests that changes to policy T-301 are will not help, and priority should be to add general use lanes to roads. Supports incorporating travel time in transportation concurrency model.	King County Code	Arterial System Map; General	Comments noted.
Lekson, Matt	01/22/2004	Proposes that priority for transportation improvements should be to reduce travel times for single-occupancy vehicles through road expansion and elimination of HOV lanes, other technical improvements, particularly for Novelty Hill and Woodinville-Duvall Roads.	King County Code	Arterial System Map; General	Comments noted.
Gerlach, Vicki	02/03/2004	Opposes proposed increased setbacks for streams.	King County Code	General	Issue addressed in Critical Areas Ordinance, not Comprehensive Plan.
Saunders, Carolyn M	01/26/2004	Opposes overly restrictive regulations for Rural Area development. Suggests working with Rural land owners to ensure critical areas are protected rather than regulations.	King County Code	General	Issue addressed in Critical Areas Ordinance, not Comprehensive Plan.
Guillemette, Regan, Regional Water Supply Manager Seattle Public Utilities	01/30/2004	Opposes expanded planning requirements included in proposed code, they are unnecessary given existing state regulations and planning requirements.	King County Code	Title 13; Chapter 21A.28	The linkages of water system plans reflects state law and on-going regional processes that are not reflected in existing policies. New provision regarding reclaimed water is consistent with authority granted to local governments under GMA, and ensures consistency with and reinforces existing state law.
Shelton, Barbara, President Issaquah Environmental Council	01/30/2004	Opposes changes to Title 13 that may allow more exempt wells to serve plats. Opposes changes to transportation concurrency measures and LOS standards, and exemptions for any projects. Opposes changes to policy T-316 to weaken support for non-motorized improvements. Opposes policies supporting economic development in the Rural Area.	King County Code	Title 13; Title 14; Comp Plan	Comments noted. Proposed policies/code limit new exempt wells for subdivisions.
Edmiston, Kirk	01/22/2004	Concerned about sprawl in East Federal Way area.	King County Code	Title 14	Concurrency may be an issue, comments forwarded to KCDOT.
Snow, Dale and Doris	01/26/2004	Proposes requiring transportation concurrency determination for short plats within the Urban Area.	King County Code	Title 14	Comments noted.

## KING COUNTY COMPREHENSIVE PLAN 2004 UPDATE

### King County Code Comments (Continued)

Name (Last, First MI, Title, Organization)	Date	Comments (Summarized)	Comprehensive Plan, King County Code, or Map Amendments	Title, Chapter, Section, and/or Policy	Response
Barbash, Jack	12/21/2003	The proposed changes to the zoning code to allow horticultural centers on parcels of over 40 acres in the Rural Area could have an adverse impact on water quality of both surface and groundwater. By allowing arboretums, greenhouses, florist shops, gift shops, and restaurants, this would introduce new contaminant sources including expanded septic systems and vehicle-derived pollutants from parking lots. The code should include a number of restrictions in order to protect against surface and groundwater contamination.	King County Code	Title 21A	Comments noted.
McClelland, Doug, Chair King County Rural Forest Commission	01/28/2004	Suggests that KCC 21A.08.040 be changed to allow only museums relevant to farming or forestry to locate in the APD or FPD. Suggests changing KCC 21A.08.050 to not allow businesses related to miscellaneous repair of machinery in the FPDs. Suggests changing KCC21A.08.080 to allow sawmills on any 10-acre site in the Rural Area, not just in RA-10 zones. Suggests reviewing the FPD boundary, putting 20-acre residential sites in a Rural Forest Focus Area rather than the FPD.	King County Code	Title 21A	Comments noted.
Rogers, Nancy Bainbridge, Cairncross & Hempelmann, P.S. On behalf of Molbak's	11/21/2003	It is important that horticultural activities such as associated retail, educational seminars, parking, and food service continue to be allowed on large sites in the Rural Area, and not be precluded due to restrictions in the proposed CAO ordinance.	King County Code	Title 21A	Proposed changes to Title 21A would allow such uses on sites of 40 acres or more.

# KING COUNTY COMPREHENSIVE PLAN 2004 UPDATE

## Public Comments on Proposed Map Amendments

Name (Last, First MI, Title, Organization)	Date	Comments (Summarized)	Comprehensive Plan, King County Code, or Map Amendments	Title, Chapter, Section, and/or Policy	Response
Osness, Marlyce	12/30/2003	Opposes upzoning the Auburn Lea Hill Urban Separator.	Map Amendments	Auburn Lea Hill Urban Separator	The recommendation for this area has been revised as a result of public input, the area will not be upzoned. No change in land use designation or zoning is proposed for the area.
Sawyer, Barbara	11/06/2003	Requests that an environmental impact study be done before the Auburn Lea Hill Urban Separator is upzoned.	Map Amendments	Auburn Lea Hill Urban Separator	The recommendation for this area has been revised as a result of public input, the area will not be upzoned. No change in land use designation or zoning is proposed for the area.
Sawyer, Tom	11/06/2003	Opposes upzoning the Auburn Lea Hill Urban Separator.	Map Amendments	Auburn Lea Hill Urban Separator	The recommendation for this area has been revised as a result of public input, the area will not be upzoned. No change in land use designation or zoning is proposed for the area.
Thomas, Charles "Lee"	12/26/2003	Opposes upzoning the Auburn Lea Hill Urban Separator.	Map Amendments	Auburn Lea Hill Urban Separator	The recommendation for this area has been revised as a result of public input, the area will not be upzoned. No change in land use designation or zoning is proposed for the area.
Porter, Carol	01/29/2004	Opposes proposed Cottage Lake area map amendment to add parcel to the Rural Neighborhood and rezone it from Rural Residential to Neighborhood Business.	Map Amendments	Cottage Lake	Comments noted.
Allen, Lisa	01/30/2004	Supports recommendation for Duvall Rock Quarry rezone to rural residential.	Map Amendments	Duvall Rock Quarry	Comment supports Executive Recommendation.
Bukovinsky, David	01/22/2004	Supports recommendation to rezone the Duvall Quarry property to RA-10.	Map Amendments	Duvall Rock Quarry	Comment supports Executive Recommendation.
Crane, Mary	01/22/2004	Supports recommendation to rezone the Duvall Quarry property to RA-10.	Map Amendments	Duvall Rock Quarry	Comment supports Executive Recommendation.
Denes, Charlie	01/22/2004	Supports recommendation to rezone the Duvall Quarry property to Rural Residential.	Map Amendments	Duvall Rock Quarry	Comment supports Executive Recommendation.
Haase, Bill	01/30/2004	Supports rezone for Duvall Rock Quarry to Rural Residential.	Map Amendments	Duvall Rock Quarry	Comment supports Executive Recommendation.
Kosterlitz, Amy L and Molly A Lawrence, Buck & Gordon LLP, On behalf of the Jackels family	02/05/2004	Opposes recommendation to redesignate the Duvall Rock Quarry property from Mining to Rural Residential and rezone the property to RA-10.	Map Amendments	Duvall Rock Quarry	Comments noted.
Laird, Floyd and Mary	01/22/2004	Supports recommendation to rezone the Duvall Quarry property to RA-10.	Map Amendments	Duvall Rock Quarry	Comment supports Executive Recommendation.
Lashway, Helen	01/22/2004	Supports recommendation to rezone the Duvall Quarry property to RA-10.	Map Amendments	Duvall Rock Quarry	Comment supports Executive Recommendation.
Lynch, Scott	01/22/2004	Supports recommendation to rezone the Duvall Quarry property to RA-10.	Map Amendments	Duvall Rock Quarry	Comment supports Executive Recommendation.
Lyndh, Melissa	01/22/2004	Supports recommendation to rezone the Duvall Quarry property to RA-10.	Map Amendments	Duvall Rock Quarry	Comment supports Executive Recommendation.
Mercer, Ronn	01/13/2004	Supports redesignation and rezone of the Duvall Rock Quarry property to Rural Residential.	Map Amendments	Duvall Rock Quarry	Comment supports Executive Recommendation.

# KING COUNTY COMPREHENSIVE PLAN 2004 UPDATE

## Map Amendment Comments (Continued)

Name (Last, First MI, Title, Organization)	Date	Comments (Summarized)	Comprehensive Plan, King County Code, or Map Amendments	Title, Chapter, Section, and/or Policy	Response
Richardson, Howard	01/30/2004	Supports redesignation and rezone of the Duvall Rock Quarry property to Rural Residential.	Map Amendments	Duvall Rock Quarry	Comment supports Executive Recommendation.
Rosenfield, John	01/22/2004	Supports recommendation to rezone the Duvall Quarry property to RA-10.	Map Amendments	Duvall Rock Quarry	Comment supports Executive Recommendation.
Shultz, Scott and Lisa	01/29/2004	Supports rezone for Duvall Rock Quarry to RA-10.	Map Amendments	Duvall Rock Quarry	Comment supports Executive Recommendation.
Theriault, Lisa	01/22/2004	Supports recommendation to rezone the Duvall Quarry property to Rural Residential.	Map Amendments	Duvall Rock Quarry	Comment supports Executive Recommendation.
Truess, Deanne B, Vice President Friends of Cherry Valley	12/19/2003	Requests rezone the Duvall Quarry property to Rural Residential.	Map Amendments	Duvall Rock Quarry	Comment supports Executive Recommendation.
Truess, Ward & Deanne	01/30/2004	Supports rezone for Duvall Rock Quarry to RA-10.	Map Amendments	Duvall Rock Quarry	Comment supports Executive Recommendation.
Bellamy, Ruth Coy	01/22/2004	Supports rezone for Duvall Rock Quarry to RA-10. Opposes any further restrictions on uses on Rural Residential properties.	Map Amendments	Duvall Rock Quarry, General	Comment supports Executive Recommendation.
Faast, Connie	01/22/2004	Supports recommendation to rezone the Duvall Rock Quarry property to Rural Residential. Opposes extreme environmental regulations for rural properties, such as 300 foot buffer for streams.	Map Amendments	Duvall Rock Quarry; General	Comment supports Executive Recommendation. Environmental regulation issues addressed in Critical Areas Ordinance, not Comprehensive Plan.
Galos, B	01/22/2004	Supports recommendation to rezone the Duvall Rock Quarry property to RA-10. Opposes 300 foot buffers for streams. Requests roads improvements in Duvall area.	Map Amendments	Duvall Rock Quarry; General	Comments noted. Environmental regulation issues addressed in Critical Areas Ordinance, not Comprehensive Plan.
Norman, Christi	01/22/2004	Supports recommendation to rezone the Duvall Rock Quarry property to RA-10. Opposes expansion of any roads in the Snoqualmie Valley, supports alternative transportation modes instead.	Map Amendments	Duvall Rock Quarry; General	Comments support Executive recommendation.
Rosenfield, Sandra	01/22/2004	Supports recommendation to rezone the Duvall Rock Quarry property to Rural Residential. Opposes extreme environmental regulations for rural properties, such as 300 foot buffer for streams, restrictions on using exempt wells. Suggests improvement to 124th to avoid flooding.	Map Amendments	Duvall Rock Quarry; General	Comments noted. Environmental regulation issues addressed in Critical Areas Ordinance, not Comprehensive Plan.
Adams, John L, Port Blakely Communities	12/04/2003	Supports no change for East Fairwood/McGarvey Park area zoning.	Map Amendments	East Fairwood/McGarvey Park	Comments support Executive Recommendation.
Cantor, Patrick O	01/05/2004	Supports no change for East Fairwood/McGarvey Park area zoning.	Map Amendments	East Fairwood/McGarvey Park	Comments support Executive Recommendation.
Carson, Mari	11/24/2003	Supports no change for East Fairwood/McGarvey Park area zoning.	Map Amendments	East Fairwood/McGarvey Park	Comments support Executive Recommendation.

# KING COUNTY COMPREHENSIVE PLAN 2004 UPDATE

## Map Amendment Comments (Continued)

Name (Last, First MI, Title, Organization)	Date	Comments (Summarized)	Comprehensive Plan, King County Code, or Map Amendments	Title, Chapter, Section, and/or Policy	Response
Eng, Daniel & Wendy	11/24/2003	Supports no change for East Fairwood/McGarvey Park area zoning.	Map Amendments	East Fairwood/McGarvey Park	Comments support Executive Recommendation.
Gendreau, Kathy	11/19/2003	Supports no change for East Fairwood/McGarvey Park area zoning.	Map Amendments	East Fairwood/McGarvey Park	Comments support Executive Recommendation.
Lamping, John C	12/23/2003	Supports no change for East Fairwood/McGarvey Park area zoning.	Map Amendments	East Fairwood/McGarvey Park	Comments support Executive Recommendation.
Nichols, Diane	11/24/2003	Supports no change for East Fairwood/McGarvey Park area zoning.	Map Amendments	East Fairwood/McGarvey Park	Comments support Executive Recommendation.
Somerville, Thane D, Short Cressman & Burgess PLLC On behalf of Woodside Homeowners' Association.	09/30/2003	Supports no change for East Fairwood/McGarvey Park area zoning.	Map Amendments	East Fairwood/McGarvey Park	Comments support Executive Recommendation.
Stafford, Tom	11/24/2003	Supports no change for East Fairwood/McGarvey Park area zoning.	Map Amendments	East Fairwood/McGarvey Park	Comments support Executive Recommendation.
Thostrud, Angela E	11/20/2003	Supports no change for East Fairwood/McGarvey Park area zoning.	Map Amendments	East Fairwood/McGarvey Park	Comments support Executive Recommendation.
Thostrud, Denny	11/21/2003	Supports no change for East Fairwood/McGarvey Park area zoning.	Map Amendments	East Fairwood/McGarvey Park	Comments support Executive Recommendation.
Vickery, Kristin	12/03/2003	Supports no change for East Fairwood/McGarvey Park area zoning.	Map Amendments	East Fairwood/McGarvey Park	Comments support Executive Recommendation.
Wasch, Mike	12/03/2003	Supports no change for East Fairwood/McGarvey Park area zoning.	Map Amendments	East Fairwood/McGarvey Park	Comments support Executive Recommendation.

# KING COUNTY COMPREHENSIVE PLAN 2004 UPDATE

## Map Amendment Comments (Continued)

Name (Last, First MI, Title, Organization)	Date	Comments (Summarized)	Comprehensive Plan, King County Code, or Map Amendments	Title, Chapter, Section, and/or Policy	Response
Pedersen, C	01/02/2004	Opposes upzoning East Renton Urban Separator area.	Map Amendments	East Renton Urban Separator	Comments support Executive Recommendation.
Betrozoff, John W	01/21/2004	Requests that there be no downzoning of rural residential property.	Map Amendments	General	No downzoning of rural residential property is proposed.
Moffet, Bill, Union Shares LLC	12/19/2003	Supports the Urban designation for Redmond Perrigo Park, but only if adjacent land owned by Union Shares LLC is also redesignated Urban.	Map Amendments	Redmond Perrigo Park	Advised Union Shares LLC to seek land use redesignation through a subsequent docket process, since they missed the deadline for docketing this request for consideration as part of the 2004 comprehensive plan update.
Keesling, Maxine	11/7/03 and follow-up comments.	Requests change in proposed policy R-547a in order to allow certain rural residential properties to be removed from the Sammamish APD even if they do not contain existing permanent non-agricultural structures. Requests that two County-owned parcels remain zoned rural residential in order to allow parking for proposed farmers market.	Map Amendments	Sammamish Agricultural Production District - Northeast Area	Comments noted. Policy R-547a not included in final recommendation. Suggestion to leave proposed farmer's market property Rural Residential has been incorporated into revised recommendation for no change in the Sammamish APD area zoning.
Calvert, Todd & Linda	12/25/2003	Concerned about traffic congestion if Willows Road area is redesignated Urban. Suggests R-4 zoning instead of R-6 zoning in order to reduce impacts to traffic.	Map Amendments	Willows Road	Comments support recommended urban land use but differs on the zoning.
Harper, Jamie	01/04/2004	Supports proposed Willows Road map amendment redesignating area to Urban.	Map Amendments	Willows Road	Comments support Executive recommendation.
Hopwood, Mark	11/05/2003	Concerned that proposed R-6 zoning is too high-density for Willows Road area, suggests R-1 or R-4 instead. Supports redesignation to Urban.	Map Amendments	Willows Road	Comments support recommended urban land use but differs on the zoning.
Jacobovitz, Arthur A	12/31/2003	Supports Urban designation for Willows Road area. However, would like office, industrial, or high-density residential zoning on parcels in southeast section of the area.	Map Amendments	Willows Road	Comments support recommended urban land use but differs on the zoning.
Lantzy, William E	11/05/2003	Supports proposed Willows Road map amendment redesignating area to Urban.	Map Amendments	Willows Road	Comments support Executive recommendation.
Marchand, Emie	12/30/2003	Supports Urban designation for Willows Road area. However, would like office, industrial, or high-density residential zoning on parcels in southeast section of the area.	Map Amendments	Willows Road	Comments support recommended urban land use but differs on the zoning.
Westall, Judy A, and Violet A Ogilvie	01/28/2004	Supports Urban designation for Willows Road area. Supports R-6 zoning for the area.	Map Amendments	Willows Road	Comments support Executive recommendation.